page 10f4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA RECEIVED
MONROE DIVISION MAR 13 2020

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: CRIMINAL NO DEPU

VERSUS JUDGE DOUGHTY

CHRISTOPHER JOE STAMPER: MAGISTRATE HAYES

MOTION TO WITHDRAW PLEA NEW EVIDENCE THREATENED AND COERCED INEFFECTIVE
ASSIST WITH COUNSEL

The defendant is aware that according to U.S. V. Obganna, he does not have a "Constitutional right to hybrid representation." And according to U.S. V. Alvarado, "he is not entitled to file prose motions on his behalf. However, due to mitigating Circumstances the petitioner prays that this Honorable Court consider these following facts.

Further in formation and new evidence has developed that will assist him in his defense.

(3.)

New Evidence - There are two written

Confessions from Tiffinie C. Hale, that she is in

fact guilty of all indictments both State of

Louisiana and united States, against the

defendant Christopher Joe Stamper. There are

also Several Written Witness Statements that

Tiffinie C. Hale, Verbally Confessed to Committing

the following offenses on his devices.

page 20fle

(1) COERCION AND ENTICEMENT

RECEIPT OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

POSSESSION OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

TWO COUNTS:

FIVE COUNTS OF RECEIPT OF CHILD PORNOGRAPHY. (LA)
Tiffinie C. Have, futher States that Christopher
Joe Stamper, had no involvement or knowledge
Of the above offenses.

Threatened and coerced Walter M. Caldwell, Wrote a letter to the defendant stating that the A. u. S. A. did not believe that the verbal recorded confession from Tiffiniec. Hale on Feb. 19, was about the 11 year old victim and that even if She were the A. u. s. A. believes the statement from Tiffinie C. Hale is a "Half Hearted Attempt" to save the defendant. In addition, walterm. Caldwell, later told the defendant that as a result of the A. U.S. A. not believing the Tiffinie c. Hale confession that he could not use the confession as a defense and That He would More Than Likely Lose In Trial, "Receive The Maximum Sentence Allowed' And "His Children would be Placed In State's Custody' Thus resulting in the defendant signing the Written Factual Basis and giving a Verbal confession in open Court. Due to the way the Chove mentioned information was delivered to the defendant it was in fact THREATENING.



page 30fle

2 Also, the defendant asked his appointed Counsel was there anyway to prove his innocence and his counsel plainly stated: "NO. TNNOCENT MFN GO TO PRISON EVERY DA)

"NO, INNOCENT MEN GO TO PRISON EVERY DAY."

When the defendant asked his Counsel for advice Mr. Caldwell, told him if he took the 5-20 Year plea that he would more than likely get the minimum and be transferred to Fort worth medical Center where he would receive the necessary medical treatment for his two terminal illnesses, (i.e.) Arnald Chiari Malformation (Type 1), Lupus (SLE). The delivery of this information was intentionally used in a promising way to persuade the defendant into accepting the plea and the defendant was in fact COFRCFD.

Page 40f6

INFFFECTIVE ASSIST WITH COUNSEL—
The defendant has proof that his appointed

Counsel Walter M. Caldwell, has violated his
5th, 6th, and 14th Amendment Rights by not

providing him with Effective Assist With Counsel.

According to Strickland V. Washington, 466

U.S. 668 (1984).

(1) "Counsel's performance was deficient, in that it fell below an Objective Standard of reasonableness:

2) "The deficient performance prejudiced him!"
Td. at 687.

A) Defendants Counsel, Walter M. Caldwell, filed multiple motions of which the defendant did not ask his Counsel to file, nor did Counsel inform him he was doing so. For instance, the motion concerning the defendant's "Mental Competency", was in fact "below an Objective Standard of reasonable ness" due to the fact that the defendant never Claimed to be "Mentally Incompetent", nor did he and mr. Caldwell, discuss and agree to use this as a line of defense.

(B.) Mr. Caldwell, filed a "motion To withdraw plea"

On the grounds of "Mental Incompetency 'Again,

Mr. Caldwell's, "performance was deficient, in

that it fell below an Objective Standard of

reasonableness' due to the fact that the defendant

Signed legal documents declaring his mental

Competence. Also, two expert psychologists

Concluded that the defendant is in fact

"mentally Competent".

C.) Mr. Caldwell, failed to file a "Motion For Preliminary Examination" to allow this Henorable Court the Opportunity to decide if it would allow the Verbal Recorded Confession into trial as evidence Supporting the defendant's Original and Continued

claim of innocence. (D.) Also, Mr. Caidwell's, failure to file a Motion To Withdraw Plea" on the grounds of "New Evidence (i.e.) Two written confessions and multiple Written Witness Statements Supporting the confession, did in fact "predudice him'in that this Honorable Court DENIED the defendant's pro se motion due to the fact that his motion was improperly and poorly prepared due to the defendant's ignerance and lack Of experience infiling pro se motions. In fact, mr. Caldwell, Still blatantly refuses to "effectively assist" his client with filing a proper " motion To Withdraw plea! E.) While the defendant was undergoing his psychiatric evaluation at Fort Werth medical Center he read in the prison law library that since he does in fact have terminal

Center he read in the prison law library
that since he does in fact have terminal
illnesses that he qualifies for a reduced
Sentence under the "Compassionate
Release" law during his Original SentencingAgain, Mr. Caldwell, refuses to file the
proper motion that will "effectively assist"
his client in receiving a possible reduction
of Sentence. Again this does in fact
"Prejudice him".

page 60f4 In Conclusion Concerning "Ineffective Assist with counsel, in Mr. Cald well's, Opposition to the Presentencing Investigative Report, he basically states that the defendant is in disagreement with the Victim Impact, First, the defendant is a father of a daughter close to the age of the victim and believes the united States probation's, Victim Impact Report, is an understatement to say the least. Second, the thought of the defendant blaming that little girl for being Victimized, let cilcue him having the audacity to imply it's her fault is absurd. And not only does Mr. Caldwell's report "presudice him", it makes the defendant who has proof that he is infact innocent of all Charges against him loca like a ruthless, heartless, and Soulless demon. And the defendant assures this Honorable Court that he never stated anything any where near what his appointed Coursel Mr. Walter M. Caldwell, has implied in his response.

Due to the aforementioned information and facts. The petitioner humbly and respectfully request that this Honorable Court will order a hearing on this Motion To Withdraw Plea' at the defendant's Next Scheduled Court appearance on April 1, 2020.

Respectfully submitted

Pro Se 3/11/2020